Aloha Chair Nakamura, Vice Chair Hashimoto, and Honorable Members,

The Hawai‘i State Commission on the Status of Women supports HB981, which creates a new chapter on "source of income" discrimination in rental transactions, adds definitions, and enforcement remedies by direct civil action.

HB981 would create an individual direct cause of action to prohibit landlords from discriminating against renters based on their source of rent money such as emergency rental assistance during COVID-19 and Housing Choice Vouchers/Section 8, which are tenant-based vouchers awarded to low-income households that assume responsibility for finding themselves appropriate units to rent in the private market.

HB981 can bring about greater gender equality. Source of income discrimination is a veiled form of illegal forms of discrimination, especially sex and family discrimination. Discrimination against women and children can be hidden by using voucher discrimination as a pretext. An astounding 83 percent of households participating in the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program are led by women. Section 8 blanket bans further limits access to housing during the economic shocks of COVID-19 pandemic.

Further, Honolulu is one of the largest cities in the United States that permits income discrimination in housing. Accordingly, the Commission asks that the Committee pass HB981.

Sincerely,
Khara
To: The Honorable Nadine K. Nakamura, Chair  
The Honorable Troy N. Hashimoto, Vice Chair  
Members of the House Committee on Housing  

From: Liann Ebesugawa, Chair  
and Commissioners of the Hawai‘i Civil Rights Commission  

Re: H.B. No. 981  

The Hawai‘i Civil Rights Commission (HCRC) has enforcement jurisdiction over Hawai‘i’s laws prohibiting discrimination in employment, housing, public accommodations, and access to state and state funded services. The HCRC carries out the Hawai‘i constitutional mandate that no person shall be discriminated against in the exercise of their civil rights. Art. I, Sec. 5.

The HCRC supports H.B. No. 981, which would amend the HRS to add a new chapter to prohibit housing discrimination against persons based on their source of income, including participation in a housing assistance program or requirements related to participation in a housing assistance program. While this new protection is different in kind from the protected bases under fair housing law, there is some correlation between the protected bases under federal and state fair housing law and those who receive rental assistance and other sources of income from government programs – many are people living with disabilities, families with children, single female heads of household, and members of racial minority groups.
In recent years a number of states and municipalities have enacted laws prohibiting discrimination in housing based on lawful source of income, including Section 8 vouchers as a source of income. Courts have held that these state and local laws are not preempted by federal Section 8 law (which states that participation in the Section 8 program is voluntary), and that the burden of participating in the Section 8 program is not onerous.

As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, its economic repercussions affect income and housing. In this crisis, it is even more important to prohibit discrimination based on source of income.

HCRC has both supported and raised concerns about similar bills in the past, and this bill addresses those concerns. H.B. No. 981 places the new prohibited practice in a new chapter of the HRS, with enforcement through direct civil action and provision for appropriate remedies. This addresses specific concerns raised by the HCRC in 2019 on a similar bill.

H.B. No. 981 vs. H.B. No. 1390

We note that H.B. No. 981 is substantively similar to H.B. No. 1390. In almost every respect, H.B. No. 981 provides the same protections as H.B. No. 1390 by prohibiting discrimination against persons based on their source of income, and does so in a shorter, simpler, and clearer fashion. However, there is one substantive difference between the two bills – H.B. No. 1390 prohibits discriminatory “steering” while H.B. No. 981 does not. “Steering” would encompass the practice of directing a prospective renter toward or away from a rental property based on their source of income. (e.g., putting all Section 8 renters in one building or neighborhood and out of others).

The HCRC supports H.B. No. 981. If the legislature wants to add a protection against discriminatory steering as discussed above, draft bill language is attached.
§ -1 Definitions. As used in this chapter, unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

“Housing assistance program” means any government rental assistance program, including low-income housing assistance under the United States Housing Act of 1937, 42 U.S.C. § 1437f, as amended.

“Rental transaction” means any part of the process for the rental or lease of a premises for residential purposes.

"Steer" means the practice of directing persons who seek to enter into a rental transaction toward or away from rental property in order to deprive them of the benefits of living in a discrimination-free environment.

§ -2 Discriminatory practices in a rental transaction based on source of income. (a) It is a discriminatory practice for a landlord to:

(1) Indicate in any manner used to advertise the availability of a rental property that the landlord will not rent a property to a person participating in a housing assistance program;

(2) Discourage in any manner a person from seeking to engage in a rental transaction based on the person’s participation in a housing assistance program;

(3) Refuse to engage in a rental transaction with a person because of the person’s participation in a housing assistance program or requirements related to participation in a housing assistance program; [or]

(4) Require rental conditions that are different from those required for a person not participating in a housing assistance program; [or]

(5) To represent to a person participating in a housing assistance program
who is seeking to engage in a rental transaction that rental property is not available for rental when in fact it is available, or to fail to bring a rental property listing to the person's attention, or to refuse to permit the person to inspect rental property, or to steer a person seeking to engage in a rental transaction.

(b) Nothing in this section shall be deemed to prohibit a landlord from determining in a commercially reasonable manner the ability of a person to pay rent by:

(1) Verifying the source and amount of income of the person; or

(2) Evaluating the stability, security, and creditworthiness of the potential tenant or any source of income of the person.
TO: The Honorable Representative Nadine K. Nakamura, Chair
House Committee on Housing

FROM: Scott Morishige, MSW, Governor’s Coordinator on Homelessness

SUBJECT: HB 981 – RELATING TO RENTAL DISCRIMINATION

Hearing: Thursday, February 4, 2021, 9:00 a.m.
VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE
Conference Room 423, State Capitol

POSITION: The Governor’s Coordinator strongly supports this measure.

PURPOSE: The purpose of the bill is to create a new chapter on “source of income” discrimination in rental transactions, adds definitions, and enforcement remedies by direct civil action.

This measure will address a key barrier to housing for many low-income and homeless individuals and families throughout the state. Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Jersey, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Washington, D.C., have adopted statutes that prohibit rental discrimination based on source of income, which includes the use of housing vouchers. In December 2020, the Hawaii Interagency Council on Homelessness prioritized addressing source of income discrimination in rental housing as a key priority for the 2021 legislative session.

In addition, the adoption of source of income legislation is aligned with ‘The Framework for an Equitable COVID-19 Homelessness Response’ supported by the Center on Budget & Policy Priorities, National Alliance to End Homelessness, National Innovation Service, National Health Care for the Homeless Council, National Low Income Housing Coalition, Urban Institute, Barbara Poppe and associates, and Matthew Doherty Consulting.
Homelessness remains one of the most pressing challenges facing Hawaii. Due to the pandemic related economic downturn, we anticipate the number of homeless individuals will significantly increase at a far greater magnitude than what followed the Great Recession. Previous statewide Point in Time counts showed an increase of over 2,100 homeless individuals between the 2009 economic recession and 2016. A steady focus on permanent housing, including maximized use of all available housing vouchers and programs, and rent and mortgage assistance or subsidies will be required to mitigate or reverse anticipated future increases in homelessness.

In response to the pandemic, the federal government has increased funding for housing subsidies available through the Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Rapid Rehousing, as well as similar long-term housing subsidies. However, many homeless individuals continue to experience difficulties in locating permanent housing, despite being assigned a housing voucher programs through programs such as Housing First, the State Rent Supplement Program, the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program, Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), or the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD VASH) program.

According to feedback from service providers, many landlords are reluctant to rent to individuals who have a voucher or who report receiving case management through Housing First or a similar program. Providers have shared that many advertisements for housing specifically state “No Section 8” or “No Vouchers.” The Coordinator's office has also received direct calls and e-mails from constituents who cite discrimination against individuals with a housing voucher as a key factor related to their homelessness.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this bill.
Statement of
Hakim Ouansafi
Hawaii Public Housing Authority
Before the

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING

Thursday, February 4, 2021
9:00 AM - Room 423, Hawaii State Capitol

In consideration of
HB 981
RELATING TO RENTAL DISCRIMINATION

Honorable Chair Nakamura and Members of the House Committee on Housing, thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony concerning House Bill (HB) 981, relating to rental discrimination.

The Hawaii Public Housing Authority (HPHA) supports the enactment of HB 981, which creates a new chapter on “source of income” discrimination in rental transactions, adds definitions, and enforcement remedies by direct civil action.

One of the programs in which the HPHA assists our low-income families is through the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, also known as “Section 8 HCV”. The Section 8 HCV program is one of the federal government’s major programs for assisting very low-income families, the elderly, and the disabled to afford decent, safe, and sanitary housing in the private market, including single-family homes, townhouses and apartments. This program currently brings $38.45 million dollars a year primarily on the island of Oahu, supports over 2,800 families and over 8,400 individuals.

Because of the overwhelming need in our community to assist our low-income families, we are hopeful that with the passage of this measure, our Section 8 HCV participants will be considered as tenants and given a chance to apply for vacant rental units in the private market.
In the last few years, the HPHA received feedback that many landlords advertise “No Section 8” and refuse to consider Section 8 participants because they believe that they will not be good tenants. Over the past several months, when the HPHA launched a “lease in place” preference, we were surprised to learn that many landlords did not want to participate in the Section 8 program even though their current renters had been with them for many years. We discovered that many landlords prefer cash payments for tax purposes.

The Section 8 HCV program is an important part of the State’s efforts in addressing the affordable housing needs of our low-income families. All families go through a criminal background, sex offender and Homeland Security screenings before being given a voucher to pursue a rental unit, and the HPHA is willing to work and assist all landlords that would like to participate in the program.

The HPHA appreciates the opportunity to provide the Committee with the HPHA’s testimony. We thank you very much for your dedicated support.
February 4, 2021

The Honorable Nadine Nakamura, Chair  
House Committee on Housing  
Via Videoconference

RE: H.B. 981 Relating to Rental Discrimination

HEARING: Thursday, February 4, 2021, at 9:00 a.m.

Aloha Chair Nakamura, Vice Chair Hashimoto, and Members of the Committee,

I am Ken Hiraki, Director of Government Affairs, testifying on behalf of the Hawai‘i Association of REALTORS® (“HAR”), the voice of real estate in Hawai‘i, and its over 10,000 members. HAR opposes House Bill 981 which creates a new chapter on "source of income" discrimination in rental transactions, adds definitions, and enforcement remedies by direct civil action.

HAR believes that government subsidized housing assistance programs, such as Section 8, are an important part of our community’s social safety net. However, the Section 8 process mandates unfair additional paperwork, inspections and processes, which is not required by other applicants.

As a result of this government red tape, housing providers participating in Section 8 are penalized for participation and must often forfeit their first month’s rent in addition to having to wait 3 months or longer for a subsequent rental check. The current Section 8 process hurts both the housing provider and the tenant through no fault of their own. HAR believes the Section 8 process should be fixed first before any consideration of legislation.

Additionally, the issue of whether a property owner is engaged in a discriminatory practice, simply by choosing not to participate in a housing assistance program, becomes subjective and ambiguous. As a result, even the most diligent property owner could find himself or herself the subject of a discrimination complaint.

Finally, HAR believes that the $5,000 damages for a violation is excessive and will provide a financial incentive to file lawsuits, especially against unsuspecting mom-and-pop property owners who may be unaware of changes in the law. HAR believes appropriate penalties should reflect a goal towards education rather than punitive damages.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify.
Chair Nakamura, Vice-Chair Hashimoto, and Committee Members:

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii supports this bill, which prohibits discrimination based on participation in, or eligibility for, housing assistance programs, in rental transactions and requirements, and establishes procedures to provide legal remedy, including financial compensation, for an individual who has been discriminated against under this bill.

The effect of Hawaii’s shortage of affordable housing is especially felt by individuals with very low incomes. Through various rental assistance programs, such individuals may have sufficient income, such as vouchers, to pay for a rental unit. It has been observed however, both nationally and in Hawaii, that landlords often resist renting to applicants who will use, for example, Section 8 vouchers to pay their rent. This discrimination, based presumably in many cases on discriminatory assumptions about the responsibility and trustworthiness of individuals with incomes low enough to qualify for public assistance, unjustly deprives those individuals of an affordable residence. HB981 allows landlords to use all the screening methods they normally use to choose desirable tenants – the only requirement under this bill is that they do not refuse tenants on the basis of their eligibility for, or participation in, public housing assistance.

The League of Women Voters of Hawaii supports fair and equitable public policies in all arenas; HB981 provides such a policy in the rental housing market.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit testimony.
HB 981, RELATING TO RENTAL DISCRIMINATION

FEBRUARY 4, 2021 · HOUSE HOUSING COMMITTEE
· CHAIR REP. NADINE K. NAKAMURA

POSITION: Support.

RATIONALE: Imua Alliance supports HB 981, relating to rental discrimination, which creates a new chapter on "source of income" discrimination in rental transactions, adds definitions, and enforcement remedies by direct civil action.

Hawai`i is facing a looming eviction crisis. During COVID-19, unemployment skyrocketed to levels not seen since the Great Depression. A recent study found that our state is experiencing the slowest unemployment rate recovery in the nation, moreover, with our rate hovering at approximately 9 percent in December. Thousands of people who haven’t lost their jobs have instead seen their employment hours and earnings slashed, as the economic downturn lingered throughout 2020 and into the new year.

Financial precarity has become the norm for many families, who are unable to pay their full rent and have accumulated rental debts. Currently, the state’s eviction moratorium protects these families from being removed from their homes. Yet, the eviction moratorium will eventually expire as the public health emergency passes, leaving thousands of people at risk of losing their housing. **Rental assistance discrimination will only make their plight worse as they try to navigate a path back to financial and housing security, especially for low-income families who already do or soon will be forced to rely on government assistance to survive.**
Today, our state’s ongoing lack of affordable housing exacerbates the economic insecurity suffered by local families, which sex traffickers use to prey upon potential victims with false promises of financial stability and prosperity. Hawai’i residents face the highest housing costs in the nation, at more than twice the national average. Researchers who authored the National Low Income Housing Coalition’s Out of Reach 2020 report found that a full-time worker would need to earn $38.76/hour to afford a two-bedroom apartment at fair market value in our state, with Honolulu experiencing a 67 percent increase in fair market rent between 2005 and 2015. Average rent for a two-bedroom unit surpassed $2,000 in recent years, with minimum wage workers needing to log 117 hours per week to afford a modest one-bedroom apartment at fair market value and 153 hours per week to afford a two-bedroom—a number that is equivalent to working over 20 hours a day with no days off year-round. In the past five years alone, Honolulu rent has increased by more than 25 percent. While 42 percent of Hawai’i residents are renters (a number that does not include individuals and families renting outside of the regulated rental market), they earn an average wage of $17.17/hour, according to NLIHC, scarcely enough to meet their basic needs.

One out of every four households in Hawai’i report that they are “doubling up” or are three paychecks or less away from being homeless, per the Hawai’i Appleseed Center for Law and Economic Justice. Additionally, over 60 percent of households are severely cost-burdened, following NLIHC data, meaning that they pay more than 30 percent of their income for housing costs, a number that rises to over 80 percent of extremely low-income households, with only 74 homes available for every 100 households earning 80 percent of their respective area’s median income.

Notably, housing costs increased during the pandemic. In Honolulu, median single-family home prices reached a record of $880,000 last October, driven largely by sales to residential property investors. Unsurprisingly, our state is now experiencing population decline. Hawai’i saw domestic out-migration increase for a third consecutive year in 2019, as the state’s high cost of living continued to push people to the mainland. Census estimates show that our state’s population dropped by 8,866 people from July 2019 to July 2020, when births, deaths, and migration were accounted for. That population drop is nearly double the loss seen in 201,
when Hawai‘i one of just ten states in the country to lose population, according to the U.S. Census Bureau. People are simply being priced out of paradise.

Without question, Hawai‘i’s lack of affordable housing exacerbates our state’s homelessness crisis. In a recently released report, Chief Medical Examiner Dr. Masahiko Kobayashi said that 127 people who were considered homeless at the time of their deaths died on O‘ahu in 2019, up from 120 deaths in 2018 and an increase of 46 percent from 2017 (87 deaths). Roughly 30 percent of children who are living on the streets will be approached for sexual exploitation within 48 hours of being away from home, according to the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, with over 80 percent being approached for the commercial sex trade during the course of their time on streets. A federal study found that an estimated 38,600 runaway youth have been sexually assaulted, in the company of someone known to be sexually abusive, or engaged in sexual activity in exchange for money, food, or shelter.

Unsheltered keiki are perceived as easy targets for sex traffickers because they lack stable shelter, a supportive environment, and financial resources, placing them at greater risk of forced prostitution and sexual servitude. Traffickers exploit our limited number of available shelter beds to lure young people into exploitation. As the homeless childcare provider Covenant House observes, traffickers tell homeless youth that shelters are full and ask, “Where are you going to go? Why don’t you come with me? I’ll take care of you.” Coupled with threats of and actual physical and sexual violence against the victims or their families, these coercive techniques compel runaway youth to remain enslaved.

LGBTQ youth, who comprise an estimated 40 percent of the runaway and homeless youth population in the United States, are exponentially more likely to fall prey to human traffickers because of discrimination, family and community trauma, and a longing for comfort and acceptance (an estimated 26 percent of LGBTQ adolescents are rejected by their families and put out of their homes simply for being open and honest about who they are). In providing care for victims of human trafficking, we have heard their stories hundreds of times.

We cannot continue to allow the islands to be used as a private Monopoly board for real estate speculators. To ensure that our islands are affordable for ourselves and future generations, we
must take bold action now to increase our affordable housing supply for working families and ensure that low-income families who are participating in our state’s rental market—and who are often Native Hawaiian, Micronesian, Pacific Islander, or other people of color—are not discriminated against simply for being poor.

Kris Coffield · Executive Director, Imua Alliance · (808) 679-7454 · kris@imuaalliance.org
Dear Chair Nakamura, Vice Chair Hashimoto, and members of the Committee:

The American Civil Liberties Union of Hawai‘i writes in support of H.B. 981. This bill prohibits discrimination in rental transactions based on an individual’s participation in a housing assistance program or requirements related to such programs.

The State of Hawai‘i continues to struggle with one of the highest rates of houselessness in the country, at over 2.5 times the national average. This is due, in large part, to the high cost of living and lack of affordable housing in the state. Housing assistance programs like the federal Housing Choice Voucher program (“Section 8”) are an essential means of alleviating high rental costs for struggling families—and in turn fighting our state’s housing crisis. In 2016, a federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) study found that compared to other means of intervention, long-term rental subsidies that enabled families to offset the cost of a unit in the private market led to the best outcomes for reducing family houselessness “by far.”

However, programs like Section 8 depend on private landlords’ willingness to rent to participating individuals. Unfortunately, discrimination based on “source of income” is well-documented in housing markets across the country—and there is no general federal law that protects against it. As a result, many landlords flat out refuse, often including declarations that say “No Section 8” in their advertisements for available rentals. This prolongs the housing search for many renters, makes it extremely difficult to find habitable, safe housing, and can ultimately lead to the expiration of a voucher if certain time limits run out.

---


To combat this problem and allow housing assistance programs to serve their intended function, numerous states, cities, and counties have already adopted laws prohibiting source of income discrimination. Several studies have found that people living in areas with these non-discrimination laws are more likely to succeed in securing a unit using a housing voucher. Given their success, it is time for Hawaiʻi to join this growing list of locales as they break down a critical barrier to affordable housing and improve geographic mobility for low-income families.

Importantly, discrimination based on source of income often also functions as discrimination against women and children in reality. One 2016 report noted that 83% of households participating in the Section 8 voucher program were led by women, and 43% of participating households contained children. Property owners and landlords in Hawaiʻi should not be permitted to engage in behavior that is tantamount to sex or familial status discrimination under the pretense that they do not accept certain sources of income.

For these reasons, the ACLU of Hawaiʻi requests that the Committee support this measure. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

Sincerely,

Hope Kerpelman
Legal and Legislative Fellow
ACLU of Hawaiʻi

The mission of the ACLU of Hawaiʻi is to protect the fundamental freedoms enshrined in the U.S. and State Constitutions. The ACLU of Hawaiʻi fulfills this through legislative, litigation, and public education programs statewide. The ACLU of Hawaiʻi is a non-partisan and private non-profit organization that provides its services at no cost to the public and does not accept government funds. The ACLU of Hawaiʻi has been serving Hawaiʻi for over 50 years.

---

6 Alison Bell, Barbara Sard, Becky Koepnick, Prohibiting Discrimination Against Renters Using Housing Vouchers Improves Results: Lessons From Cities and States That Have Enacted Source of Income Laws, CTR. ON BUDGET & POLICY PRIORITIES, at 1, 5–6 (2018).
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To: Representative Nakamura, Chair  
Representative Hashimoto, Vice Chair  
Housing Committee on Housing  

Re: HB 981- Relating to Rental Discrimination  
9:00 AM, February 4, 2021  

Chair Nakamura, Vice Chair Hashimoto, and committee members,  

On behalf of HCAN Speaks!, thank you for the opportunity to testify in support of House Bill 981, which would prohibit discrimination in rental transactions based on receipt of income from a housing assistance program or requirements related to participation in housing assistance programs.  

Safe, stable housing is foundational to a child’s well-being. Prior to the pandemic, families with children accounted for over a quarter of those represented in the 2020 Point in Time Count. In our current economic state, we can expect to see an increase in families with children struggling to stay housed. Hawai‘i will need all available tools to help get families into housing and keep them there. House Bill 981 is necessary policy to stop the harmful practice of discrimination based on income source.  

Housing instability can impact a child’s entire life. One study found that as the number of times a child moves increases, so does the child’s likelihood of exposure to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs).¹ The Hawai‘i Department of Education reported over 3,600 DOE students either lived in shelters, on the street, doubled up with other families, or in hotels or motels during the 2018-2019 school year. For some of these children, their families will be given the opportunity to find housing with a subsidized voucher and they should be treated the same way as all other families.  

Landlords can and do advertise No Section 8 applicants/tenants which are gender neutral but have a gender-based impact. The majority of children in single parent households are in female-headed households, and 83% of Section 8 Voucher holders are women.² The pandemic has been especially cruel to women in terms of job loss and economic loss. Additionally, a national report found that 80% of women with children who experience homelessness have experienced domestic violence.³ We must ensure our recovery from the pandemic is centered in equity and that includes eliminating barriers for women to secure housing.  

For these reasons, HCAN Speaks! respectfully requests that your committee vote to pass this bill.  

Kathleen Algire  
Director of Early Learning and Health Policy  

² Education Department’s ED Data Express tables for unstably housed students enrolled during school year 2018-2019.  
⁴ U.S Interagency Council on Homelessness, Homelessness in America: Focus on Families with Children, 2018
Testimony of the Hawai‘i Appleseed Center for Law & Economic Justice
In Support of HB 981 – Relating to Rental Discrimination
House Committee on Housing
Thursday, February 4, 2021, 1:00 PM, conference room 423

Dear Chair Nakamura, Vice Chair Hashimoto, and members of the Committee:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony in SUPPORT of HB 981, which would prohibit discrimination against tenants based on the tenants’ source of income.

Non-discrimination protections such as what HB 981 proposes are effective in helping ensure that tenants with income assistance are able to secure a rental unit. In the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher context, studies have shown a lower rate of voucher denial in jurisdictions where such protections exist.¹ As of November 2020, 17 states had some form of protection for discrimination against HCV holders.²

HB 981 would do much to ensure that households are not harmfully denied housing in spite of having access to resources to pay the rent.

We appreciate your consideration of this testimony.

**HB-981**  
Submitted on: 2/2/2021 12:43:08 PM  
Testimony for HSG on 2/4/2021 9:00:00 AM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submitted By</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Testifier Position</th>
<th>Present at Hearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laurie Field</td>
<td>Planned Parenthood Votes Northwest and Hawaii</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:

Planned Parenthood Votes Northwest and Hawaii supports HB 981.
February 2, 2021

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HOUSING
Rep. Nadine K. Nakamura, Chair
Rep. Troy N. Hashimoto, Vice Chair

Re: HB 981 - RELATING TO RENTAL DISCRIMINATION

Dear Representatives:

I am an attorney who practices in the field of landlord/tenant law, including residential matters. I have over 28 years’ experience in the area and my clients manage over 10,000 residential dwellings across the State of Hawaii. I am writing regarding the above-referenced bill.

In Hawaii, too few landlords participate in the Section 8 program, so I generally agree with the intent of the legislation – to create opportunities for those with Section 8 vouchers to be able to find housing.

This proposed legislation, however, is not clear in what it will require of landlords in order to comply with its terms and can be read to cause absurd results. Because it is unclear how a landlord can comply with the law, I am writing in opposition to HB 981.

Additionally, while the law seems aimed towards rental transactions, the law does not limit its scope to residential landlord-tenant transactions. It applies to long-term ground leases, agreements of sale, and any other real estate transaction in the State. The law will make normal and prudent business decisions in the transaction of real estate discriminatory.

A. What is required by this law for landlords?

It seems that the law seeks to prevent landlords from considering whether a prospective tenant is on a “housing assistance program” when considering them as a tenant – similar to how a landlord is not supposed to consider race, gender, familial status, etc.

It is not clear, however, whether this law would require every landlord to participate in every kind of “housing assistance program” and to what extent that participation is required. The proposed law would make it a discriminatory act to consider “requirements related to participation in a housing assistance program” in selecting tenants. It is not clear what this means.¹

¹ Although it seems the intent is to include only government programs in the definition of “housing assistance program,” the definition can be read to include any sort of housing assistance program, not just government programs. If the legislature intends that the source of income prohibition pertain only to government programs, please consider revising the definition to:
The law also can be read to require that all landlords participate in the Section 8 program. Is that the Legislature’s intent? Presently, participation by landlords in the Section 8 program is completely voluntary. Many landlords choose to participate in the Section 8 program since the program provides a reliable source of income, especially during troubling economic times. Some landlords who want to participate in the Section 8 program cannot because the condition of their properties do not meet Section 8’s standards. Other landlords choose not to participate in the program because it is unattractive to them.

What could be unattractive about a secure stream of rent? For many landlords, the requirements of the Section 8 agreement are too burdensome. As you can see from the City and County of Honolulu’s website, Section 8 housing assistance can only happen after a landlord has made a contract with the government. See, http://www.honolulu.gov/cms-dcs-menu/site-dcs-sitewidgets/articles/1338-cad-section-8.html (“Eligible participants receive a Housing Choice Voucher which entitles them to search for a rental unit. A contract to pay subsidies is signed between the City and the owner once the rental unit and the lease are approved.”)


Many of the terms are unattractive and one-sided. For example, by entering into the agreement, a landlord agrees to allow the government to audit his or her records, and must allow the government “full and free” access to any computers, equipment or facilities containing records related to the rental. For most private landlords in Hawaii, this would mean allowing the government full and free access to their home and giving the government full and free access to all information on their computers and cell phones. See, paragraph 11 on “Page 6 of 12” of the Sample HAP Contract.


"Housing assistance program" means any government assistance, government grant, government loan, or government rental assistance program, including low-income housing assistance certificates and vouchers under the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended.

Otherwise, the definition can be read to apply to any grant, any loan or any rental assistance program, in addition to government assistance programs. For example, under the current language, if there was a “Proud Boys Rental Assistance Program”, arguably a landlord could not turn a prospective tenant away because of their participation in that rental assistance program.
In addition to giving up fundamental rights of privacy, a landlord that enters into the HAP contract also gives up the fundamental right to evict a tenant if rent is not paid. See, paragraph 5.c. on Page 9 of 12 of the Sample HAP Contract, which says, “(a) PHA failure to pay the housing assistance payment to the owner is not a violation of the lease. The owner may not terminate the tenancy for nonpayment of the PHA housing assistance payment.” So, when Section 8 does not pay, a landlord cannot take his or her property back.

As it is presently written, this legislation can be read to require all landlords to agree to any condition imposed by any government program, without regard to whether the landlord wants to, or even can, participate. This will drive many landlords out of the business and deprive the community of rental units - a resource that is scarce.

B. Pandemic Stimulus Considerations

Additionally, in the context of a pandemic and stimulus funds being released by various government entities to assist with rent, this law would make prudent business decisions in selecting tenants illegal. For example, if one prospective tenant has a steady job and income, and another is unemployed but has been approved to receive three months' rent from government stimulus funds, this legislation will make it illegal for a landlord to choose the tenant with the steady income. That would be an absurd result.

So, while I agree that Section 8 recipients should have more options to find housing, this proposed legislation does not seem well-designed to achieve that end and will cause much disruption. This proposed bill will create confusion and litigation without any significant benefit.

Very truly yours,

/s/ David Chee

David W.H. Chee

---

2 Of note – section (b) (page 5, line 13) makes it explicitly proper for a landlord to determine the ability of a prospective renter to pay the rent, but section (a) (page 3, line 19) makes it illegal to choose a prospective tenant who has a steady income over one who may only receive temporary stimulus funds (page 4, line 4).
February 3, 2021

TO: The Honorable Representative Nadine K. Nakamura, Chair
    House Committee on Housing

FROM: Cathy Betts, Director

SUBJECT: HB 981 – RELATING TO RENTAL DISCRIMINATION.

Hearing: Thursday, February 4, 2021, 9:00 a.m.
        Via Videoconference, State Capitol

DEPARTMENT’S POSITION: The Department of Human Services (DHS) supports this administration measure.

PURPOSE: The purpose of the bill creates a new chapter on "source of income" discrimination in rental transactions, adds definitions, and enforcement remedies by direct civil action.

Prior to the pandemic, Hawaii had a housing shortage at all price points. Many residents have been economically impacted by the pandemic and are facing evictions pending the lifting of the moratorium on evictions based on the failure to pay rent. Consequently, more individuals and families are looking for more affordable housing and the lowest income households will be further pushed out of the market.

Hawaii’s Section 8 voucher holders have the added requirement to find an available rental that will meet Section 8 program minimums. With landlords also impacted by the inability to collect rent, more tenancies are likely to be falling into disrepair. Instead of repairing the unit, landlords will likely offer the unit to someone who does not have a Section 8 voucher – even though a voucher may be a source of consistent and reliable rental subsidy.
This proposal will prohibit such source of income discrimination and provide a civil remedy for renters to seek judicial relief. This proposal will bring Hawaii’s rental market, in particular Honolulu’s, into alignment with other major metropolitan areas, and help to ensure access to rental units to Hawaii's low income residents. As indicated in the preamble, most Section 8 voucher holders are women-lead households. This measure would have added impact to support women with children to secure stable housing or a civil remedy.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this measure.