

SB 233

Sterling Wong	OFFICE OF HAWAIIAN AFFAIRS	SUPPORT
Jalna Keala	ASSOCIATION OF HAWAIIAN CIVIC CLUBS	SUPPORT
	HAWAII STATE FOUNDATION ON CULTURE AND THE ARTS	SUPPORT
Troy Abraham		SUPPORT
Kenneth Conklin	CENTER OF HAWAIIAN SOVEREIGNTY STUDIES	OPPOSE



SB233

RELATING TO QUEEN LILIUOKALANI

Senate Committee on Tourism and Hawaiian Affairs

Senate Committee on Technology and the Arts

February 11, 2013

2:45 p.m.

Room 224

The administration of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) will recommend that our Board of Trustees **SUPPORT** SB233, which would require that the inscription on the statue of Queen Lili'uokalani on the grounds of the State Capitol be changed to reflect that she was the queen of the Kingdom of Hawai'i from her coronation on January 29, 1891, until her death on November 11, 1917.

From the moment she became queen until she died, Queen Lili'uokalani's tenure as Hawai'i's last ruling monarch was beset by political turmoil and personal distress. Her kingdom was illegally overthrown with the aid of the U.S. military, and she was imprisoned in her own palace. Through it all, she exhibited remarkable courage and an unwavering commitment to her people and her kingdom. To honor Queen Lili'uokalani, the inscription of her statue should be changed as proposed in SB233.

Mahalo for the opportunity to testify on this important measure.



Association of Hawaiian Civic Clubs

P. O. Box 1135
Honolulu, Hawai`i 96807

**JOINT SENATE COMMITTEES ON TOURISM AND HAWAIIAN
AFFAIRS
AND
TECHNOLOGY AND THE ARTS**

SENATE BILL 233 RELATING TO QUEEN LILIOUKALANI

Monday, February 11, 2013; 2:45pm; Room 224

Aloha Chairman Galuteria and Chairman Wakai of the joint committees on Tourism and Hawaiian Affairs and Technology and the Arts. I am Soulee Stroud, president of the Association of Hawaiian Civic Clubs here to support the authentication of dates on the plaque at the statue of Queen Lilioukalani.

Thank you for introducing this bill that will correctly state on the inscription that Queen Lilioukalani was Queen until her death in 1917 and not on the date of the overthrow. However, we also recognize that she will forever be Aliiwahine in the eyes and hearts of Native Hawaiians.

We urge passage of this bill.

Contact: jalna.keala2@hawaiiantel.net



HAWAII
STATE FOUNDATION on
CULTURE and the ARTS

No. 1 Capitol District Building
250 South Hotel Street
Second Floor
Honolulu, HI 96813

Governor
Neil Abercrombie

Comptroller
Dean H. Seki

Chairperson
Barbara Saromines-Ganne

Commissioners
Mary Begier
Leonard Chow
Joel Guy
Clifford Kapono
Michael Moore
Sharon Narimatsu
Peter Rosegg
Sheryl Seaman

Executive Director
Eva Laird Smith

Telephone
808.586.0305

Fax
808.586.0308

TT: 808.586.0740

Website
<http://state.hi.us/sfca/>

**TESTIMONY OF
THE STATE FOUNDATION ON CULTURE AND THE ARTS
TO
THE SENATE COMMITTEES ON TOURISM AND HAWAIIAN
AFFAIRS
AND TECHNOLOGY AND THE ARTS
ON
FEBRUARY 11, 2013**

S. B. 233

Chair Galuteria, Chair Wakai and members of the Committees, I am Eva Laird Smith, executive director of the State Foundation on Culture and the Arts (SFCA), and I am pleased to support S. B. 233, provided its passage does not replace or adversely impact priorities indicated in the Executive Budget.

The State Foundation on Culture and the Arts acknowledges the memory of Queen Liliu'okalani and the need to recognize her importance to Hawai'i and Hawaii's people. Should the State Legislature call for physical changes by way of primary data pertaining to the Queen's statue, the SFCA would be honored to assist in this endeavor.

This work of art is part of the Art in Public Places Collection. Therefore, expenses to be incurred in the process of installing a revised inscription at the base of the sculpture will be under the purview of the Department of Accounting and General Services and the SFCA.

We recommend that the new text be crafted by a legislatively appointed committee or an art advisory convened for this purpose and chaired by the SFCA.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on this matter.

SB233

Submitted on: 2/7/2013

Testimony for THA/TEC on Feb 11, 2013 14:45PM in Conference Room 224

Submitted By	Organization	Testifier Position	Present at Hearing
Troy Abraham	Individual	Support	No

Comments:

Please note that testimony submitted less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, improperly identified, or directed to the incorrect office, may not be posted online or distributed to the committee prior to the convening of the public hearing.

Do not reply to this email. This inbox is not monitored. For assistance please email webmaster@capitol.hawaii.gov

Center for Hawaiian Sovereignty Studies
46-255 Kahuhipa St. Suite 1205 Kane'ohe, HI 96744
Tel/Fax (808) 247-7942
Kenneth R. Conklin, Ph.D. Executive Director
e-mail Ken_Conklin@yahoo.com



Unity, Equality, Aloha for All

To: THA/TEC
From: Kenneth R. Conklin, Ph.D.
Date: February 7, 2013

Re: SB233
Changes inscription on Queen Liliuokalani statue to the dates of her coronation and death.

TESTIMONY IN OPPOSITION

This bill proposes to put on the Liliuokalani statue only two dates: her "coronation on January 29, 1891, to the date of her death on November 11, 1917."

Those two dates, standing alone, would give the impression that Liliuokalani actually reigned from 1891 to 1917. Indeed, the whole purpose of such an inscription is to twist history by leading people to believe the monarchy was never overthrown. Numerous falsehoods and misleading statements in the text of the bill make clear that is its purpose. Indeed, the top two lines on page 4 make this purpose of the bill crystal clear: "Queen Liliuokalani remained the Queen of the Kingdom of Hawaii until her death."

But no she didn't. It's both historically and morally wrong to say that.

No doubt Lili'uokalani had some very devoted followers. In their hearts she was always Queen until the day she died. Apparently the Baghdad Bobs of today -- the diehard deadenders of the Hawaiian Kingdom -- want to defy reality and turn their wishes into facts. The question is: will the taxpayers be forced to pay for the inscription on her statue to be changed, so that tourists and schoolchildren will be led to believe a lie?

My testimony provides detailed proof to refute the history twisting.

One element of that proof is photographs of a letter of abdication and an oath of allegiance to the Republic of Hawaii, both personally signed by Liliuokalani and notarized on January 24, 1895 in the presence of her personal attorney and (former) cabinet ministers.

Another element of that proof is photographs showing that emperors, kings, queens, and presidents of at least 20 nations personally signed letters of recognition de jure, in Fall 1894, formally recognizing the Republic as the rightful successor government to the Kingdom. Several of those letters were signed by heads of state whom King Kalakaua had personally met on his trip around the world, and to whom he had awarded the highest order of medal in the Kingdom. They agreed the monarchy was finished. It's time for Hawaii legislators to acknowledge that incontrovertible fact.

Consider these three lines for an inscription:

- 1. Lili'uokalani**
- 2. Born September 2, 1838, Died November 11, 1917.**
- 3. Reigning Queen January 29, 1891 to January 17, 1893.**

There are four possibilities for an accurate and respectful inscription:

Line 1 alone; or

Lines 1 and 2 together; or

Lines 1 and 3 together; or

All three lines together.

Here's the rest of my detailed testimony.

Page 1, lines 6-11 says that U.S. diplomatic representative John Stevens "conspired ... to overthrow the indigenous and lawful government of the Kingdom of Hawaii." There is one doubtful matter of interpretation, and one flagrant error of fact, in that sentence.

It's a matter of interpretation whether Mr. Stevens conspired to overthrow the government. There is credible evidence on both sides of that question. The well-known "Blount Report" and U.S. President Grover Cleveland said one

thing; the less-well-known but now easily available Morgan Report, containing testimony before Congress, under oath with cross-examination, says the opposite and includes proof that Minister Blount told lies in his report. See <http://morganreport.org>

The flagrant error of fact is the assertion that the Kingdom government was "indigenous." The truth is that throughout the Kingdom, from the time of its founding until the revolution of 1893, a majority of cabinet ministers, nearly all the department heads, and about 1/4 of the members of the legislature (both Nobles and Representatives) were Caucasian. Many of those Caucasians were native-born and raised in Hawaii, while others were immigrants who became naturalized subjects (citizens).

Another disputable interpretation comes on page 1, lines 16-17, which says the U.S. peacekeepers positioned themselves "to intimidate Queen Liliuokalani and her government." It should be noted that as soon as the peacekeepers had landed and began marching unavoidably past the Palace on their way to their anticipated bivouac in Wai'alaie, they dipped the U.S. flag as a sign of respect in salute to the Queen -- an act that cannot possibly be interpreted to intimidate her.

Page 2, lines 5-10 says "The United States Minister thereupon extended diplomatic recognition to the provisional government in violation of treaties between the two nations and of international law." It should be noted that within two days after the revolution, every local consul of every nation that had a consulate in Hawaii sent a letter of diplomatic recognition de facto to Sanford B. Dole, President of the Provisional Government. That's not a violation of treaties nor of international law. It's the normal course of events after a revolution. Foreign nations recognize the new government (and thereby confirm that existing treaties remain in effect). Those letters were published in the Honolulu Commercial Advertiser, and their text can be seen at <http://tinyurl.com/9f4vh4>

Formal letters of de jure recognition of the Republic, personally signed by emperors, kings, queens, and presidents of 20 nations on 4 continents in 11 languages can be seen at

<http://tinyurl.com/4wtwdz>

If you know teachers of any of those languages, suggest they use these letters in the classroom!

Page 3, lines 18 to page 4, line 2 says "Queen Liliuokalani never relinquished the throne in the face of the overthrow, notwithstanding her forced removal from the throne. Therefore, the legislature finds that Hawaiian sovereignty was never relinquished in the overthrow and that Queen Liliuokalani remained the Queen of the Kingdom of Hawaii until her death."

But it's false to say she never relinquished the throne. And it's easy to prove that's false.

On January 24, 1895 ex-queen Liliuokalani signed a five-page letter of abdication and a one-page oath of loyalty to the Republic of Hawaii. Six witnesses including her personal attorney and her cabinet ministers signed a statement certifying that she had freely and voluntarily signed in their presence. Notary W.L. Stanley also notarized the documents. Knowing that at least 19 nations had already recognized the Republic of Hawaii, and that the attempted counter-revolution by Robert Wilcox had been crushed earlier in the month, Liliuokalani decisively ended any hope for the monarchy and pledged her loyalty to the Republic. Thus Liliuokalani herself formally recognized the Republic -- her abdication and loyalty oath belong among the letters whereby heads of government around the world (including Liliuokalani for those who believed her position as head of state for the Kingdom of Hawaii was still viable) gave de jure recognition to the Republic as the rightful government of Hawaii. The originals of Liliuokalani's letter of abdication and her oath of loyalty to the Republic, personally signed by herself, are in the Archives of the State of Hawaii. Photographs of them can be seen on the internet at <http://tinyurl.com/4u8es4>

But even if she had not signed a formal abdication and loyalty oath, it would not matter. Very few revolutions throughout the history of the world, including in modern times, have ever been followed by a formal abdication. In many cases the monarch, dictator, or head of state who was overthrown was killed during or soon after the revolution without the nicety of signing an abdication. Whether the deposed head of state dies without signing an abdication, or continues living for many years, his/her government was ended by the revolution and he/she does not remain head of state. To say otherwise is absurd. During the 19th, 20th, and 21st Centuries, how many monarchs, dictators, or presidents in Europe, South America or Africa were overthrown? How many of them signed letters of abdication? How many of the overthrown dictators continued to be recognized as head of state until they died, by their treaty partners or under international law?

Following the Russian revolution of 1917, the Tsar and his family were all shot to death. Or were they? For many decades the royalists said Princess Anastasia had escaped, and if she could be found she would be the rightful ruler of Russia. But only a few diehard deadenders (like today's Hawaiian sovereignty activists) believed that she would actually take over Russia, even if she could be found.

The highest order of medals awarded by the monarchs of the Kingdom of Hawaii was the Knights Grand Cross of the Royal Order of Kamehameha With Collar. Below are stories about three monarchs who had received that medal from King Kalakaua during the 1880s, and who were still reigning at the time of the Hawaiian revolution of 1893 and establishment of the Republic in 1894. All three of them personally signed letters of recognition de jure to President Sanford B. Dole, toward the end of 1894, formally recognizing the Republic as the rightful successor government of Hawaii following the overthrow of the monarchy. They did that despite the fact that Dole had overthrown a fellow monarch with whom they had very close relations. They knew the revolution had overthrown the Hawaiian monarchy, yet these monarchs went out of their way to formally acknowledge that fact. Will the Hawaii legislature now try to rewrite history?

In the basement of Iolani Palace there's a display of medals or photos of them, with notes identifying who were the recipients.

Queen Victoria of Britain was given the Knights Grand Cross of the Royal Order of Kamehameha With Collar personally by King Kalakaua in June of 1881 during his trip around the world. Queen Liliuokalani had also personally attended Victoria's golden jubilee in 1887. Queen Victoria was also godmother to Prince Albert Leiohoku Kamehameha, son of dowager Queen Emma (granddaughter of Englishman John Young) and King Alexander Liholiho Kamehameha IV, to whom Victoria sent a baby crib still on display in Queen Emma's summer palace.

Nevertheless, after the Hawaiian revolution and after the Republic had been established, Queen Victoria personally signed a warm and friendly letter to President Sanford Dole formally recognizing the Republic as the rightful government of Hawaii. Victoria knew what was right. Despite the fact that Liliuokalani was her friend and Victoria had very close relations with three monarchs of the Kingdom of Hawaii over a period spanning several decades,

she officially confirmed that the Hawaiian monarchy had ended and the Republic was the rightful government. Who are you, members of the legislature, to disagree with her at the behest of a few insurgent history twisters? See Queen Victoria's personally signed letter, and accompanying documents, at <http://tinyurl.com/6e3lh3>

In 1881 Tsar Alexander III of Russia rose to the throne upon the death of his father. King Kalakaua had met him during his trip around the world. In 1883 Kalakaua sent envoy extraordinary and minister plenipotentiary Col. Curtis P. Iaukea to attend the Tsar's delayed coronation ceremony. At that time Minister Iaukea, by command of King Kalakaua, presented the Tsar with the Royal Order of Kamehameha With Collar. Alexander III remained Tsar of Russia during the Hawaiian revolution of 1893. When he was notified of the establishment of the Republic of Hawaii in 1894, he then personally signed a letter of recognition de jure, formally recognizing the Republic as Hawaii's rightful government. See the Tsar's original letter in Russian, accompanied by a contemporaneous certified translation into French, at <http://tinyurl.com/3m57m5>

In March of 1881 King Kalakaua personally visited the Meiji Emperor of Japan, Mutsuhito, during Kalakaua's trip around the world. Kalakaua gave the Emperor the Knights Grand Cross of the Royal Order of Kamehameha With Collar, and also offered his niece, Princess Kaiulani, to become the future bride of Mutsuhito's eldest son, the Crown Prince of Japan. Despite that close relationship between the monarchical governments, the Japanese consul in Honolulu, Suburo Fujii, delivered a letter of de facto recognition to the Provisional Government of Hawaii on January 19, 1893, two days after the revolution. Contents of the letter are at <http://tinyurl.com/9f4vh4>

Apparently the Japanese consulate continued the same level of relations with the Provisional Government, and later the Republic, as it had maintained with the Kingdom. It is unclear whether the subsequent establishment of the Republic resulted in a formal letter of recognition de jure like the ones given by at least nineteen other nations. No such letter can be found in the archives of the State of Hawaii. However, on April 24, 1897, the Japanese government presented a letter to President Sanford B. Dole, personally signed by Emperor Mutsuhito, naming "Mr. R. Shimamura, His Imperial Japanese Majesty's Diplomatic Agent and Consul General." When presenting the letter from the Emperor, Mr. Shimamura said to President Dole, "Mr. President: The Consulate General of Japan in Hawaii has been raised to the

rank of Legation" -- a higher rank than had previously been given to the Japanese consulate throughout the Kingdom. Thus it is clear that the Emperor of Japan recognized the Republic of Hawaii as rightful successor to the Kingdom -- the same Emperor to whom King Kalakaua had personally given the Kingdom's highest medal and an offer of his niece Kaiulani's hand in marriage to the Crown Prince. A photo of the newspaper report from 1897, containing full text of the Emperor's letter, is at <http://tinyurl.com/4h2fhd>

It is an indisputable fact that the monarchy in Hawaii ended on January 17, 1893. In 1894 emperors, kings, queens, and presidents of 20 nations on four continents personally signed letters giving de jure recognition to the Republic; and you, dear legislators, have been shown photos of those letters. Several of those heads of government were personal friends of King Kalakaua, and the King had given them Hawaii's highest royal order; yet they recognized that the monarchy had ended and recognized the successor Republic. In 1895, following a failed attempt at a counterrevolution, the ex-queen herself signed a letter of abdication and oath of loyalty to the Republic; and you, dear legislators, have been shown a photo of that document.

Please do not conspire with the history-twisters to change the inscription on the Lili'uokalani statue to create the false impression that Lili'uokalani remained the reigning monarch until her death in 1917.